EXHIBIT C

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
  ĩ
  2
                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
  3
     MONA DOBRICH and MARCO
 4
      DOBRICH, Individually and
 5
      as parents and next friend
     of ALEXANDER DOBRICH,
     SAMANTHA DOBRICH, JANE DOE
 6
     and JOHN DOE, Individually
 7
     and as parents and next
     friend of JORDAN DOE and
 8
     JAMIE DOE,
 9
                  Plaintiffs,
                                             Civil Action
10
     v.
                                             No. 05-120 (JJF)
11
     INDIAN RIVER SCHOOL,
     DISTRICT, et al.,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                    Videotaped Deposition of HARVEY L. WALLS,
     taken pursuant to notice at 31 Hosier Street,
     Selbyville, Delaware, beginning at 1:30 p.m., on
15
     Wednesday, October 25, 2006, before Terry Barbano
16
     Burke, RMR-CRR and Notary Public.
     APPEARANCES:
17
18
             THOMAS ALLINGHAM, ESQUIRE
             BRIAN LENHARD, ESQUIRE
19
               One Rodney Square
               Wilmington, Delaware 19801
20
               For the Plaintiff
21
                          WILCOX & FETZER
22
         1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
                          (302) 655-0477
23
                         www.wilfet.com
24
```

	Harvey L. Walls				
(121			
1	A. It could very well have.				
2	Q. When you say "it could very well have," does				
3	that mean that having reviewed this log and the letter				
4	from me that it's your best recollection that that's				
5	about the time it occurred?				
6	A. January of 2005?				
7	Q. Yes, sir.				
8	A. That could be accurate.				
9	Q. Why did the board decide to discharge				
10	Mr. Griffin for that purpose?				
11	A. The board did not want Mr. Griffin				
12	representing us on this case.				
13	Q. Why?				
14	A. Because we had philosophical differences with	1			
15	Mr. Griffin.				
16	Q. What was the nature of the philosophical				
17	differences?				
18	MR. SHAU: Objection. The differences				
19	between counsel and their client are attorney-client				
20	privilege.				
21	MR. ALLINGHAM: Not if they're not in				
22	connection with the attorney-client relationship.				
23	MR. SHAU: Did you discuss your				

differences with Mr. Griffin?

24

Harvey L. Walls

- 1	122			
1	THE WITNESS: One of the differences			
2	was			
3	MR. SHAU: Before you answer, did you			
4	discuss these differences with Mr. Griffin?			
5	THE WITNESS: Portions of them.			
6	MR. SHAU: I am going to instruct the			
7	witness not to answer, not to disclose any			
8	conversations he has had with Mr. Griffin relating to			
9	the representation.			
10	MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm completely in			
11	agreement with that instruction. Okay?			
12	BY MR. ALLINGHAM:			
13	Q. Don't tell me what you told Mr. Griffin on			
14	this topic. Don't tell me what Mr. Griffin told you on			
15	this topic. I just want to know what you understood			
16	the philosophical differences between you and			
17	Mr. Griffin to be that led you to not want him to			
18	represent you in connection with this litigation?			
19	A. One was his actual recommendation to us that			
20	we would make him a rich man if he were to be our			
21	lawyer. That was a specific recommendation from him.			
22	MR. SHAU: I am going to instruct the			
23	witness not to say specific recommendations from			
24	Mr. Griffin.			

	Case 1:05-cv-00120-JJF Document 202-4 Filed 11/03/	2006 Page 5 of 5			
Harvey L. Walls					
		123			
1	BY MR. ALLINGHAM:				
2	Q. Anything else?				
3	A. We don't know that we as a board did	not			
4	believe that Mr. Griffin believed in what the	ooard			
5	believed in.				
6	Q. What was it that the board believed i	n?			
7	A. In that the board prayer issue at mee	ting was			
8	legal.				

- legal.
 - And --Q.

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And worth fighting for. Α.
- What was the basis for your belief that 11 Q. Mr. Griffin didn't believe that the board policy was 12 legal? 13
 - Several comments he had made over time. Α.
 - Okay, don't tell me. Q.

We've established that on or about September 15th, maybe a day, maybe two days later, Mr. Neuberger withdrew his offer of representation to you.

You mentioned much earlier in the deposition that you also had consulted the Alliance Defense Fund in connection with these issues; correct?

- Yes. Α.
- If you look at the privilege log again, you'll

